

Statistical Distribution for Particles obeying Fractional Statistics

Yong-Shi Wu

Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112
(February 1, 2008)

We formulate quantum statistical mechanics of particles obeying fractional statistics, including mutual statistics, by adopting a state-counting definition. For an ideal gas, the most probable occupation-number distribution interpolates between bosons and fermions, and respects a generalized exclusion principle except for bosons. Anyons in strong magnetic field at low temperatures constitute such a physical system. Applications to the thermodynamic properties of quasi-particle excitations in the Laughlin quantum Hall fluid are discussed.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 05.70.Ce, 71.10.+x, 73.40.Hm

Statistics is the distinctive property of a particle (or elementary excitation) that plays a fundamental role in determining macroscopic or thermodynamic properties of a quantum many-body system. For example, superfluidity or superconductivity is essentially due to Bose-Einstein condensation; and the stability of macroscopic matter [1] depends crucially on the Fermi-Dirac statistics of electrons and protons. In recent years, it has been recognized that particles with “fractional statistics” intermediate between bosons and fermions can exist in two-dimensional [2] or in one-dimensional [3,4] systems. Most of the study has been done in the context of many-body quantum mechanics. Despite calculations of certain thermodynamic properties in a few examples [3,5–7] with the help of exact solutions, general formulation of quantum statistical mechanics (QSM) with a distribution that interpolates between bosons and fermions is still lacking.

A single-particle quantum state can accommodate an arbitrary number of identical bosons, while no two identical fermions can occupy one and the same quantum state (Pauli’s exclusion principle). In QSM [8], this difference gives rise to different counting of many-body states, or different statistical weight W . For bosons or fermions, the number of quantum states of N identical particles occupying a group of G states is, respectively, given by

$$W_b = \frac{(G + N - 1)!}{N! (G - 1)!}, \quad \text{or} \quad W_f = \frac{G!}{N! (G - N)!}. \quad (1)$$

A simple generalization and interpolation is

$$W = \frac{[G + (N - 1)(1 - \alpha)]!}{N! [G - \alpha N - (1 - \alpha)]!}, \quad (2)$$

with $\alpha = 0$ corresponding to bosons and $\alpha = 1$ fermions. Such an expression can be the starting point of QSM for *intermediate* statistics with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let us first clarify

its precise meaning in connection with “occupation of single-particle states”, and justify it as a new definition of quantum statistics, *à la* Haldane [4].

Following ref. [4], we consider the situations in which the number G_i of linearly independent single particle (or elementary excitation) states of species i , confined to a finite region of matter, is *finite and extensive*, i. e. proportional to the size of the matter region in which the particle exists. Now let us add more particles *with the boundary conditions and size of the condensed-matter region fixed*. The N -particle wave function, when the coordinates of the $N - 1$ particles and their species are held fixed, can be expanded in a basis of wave functions of the n -th particle. The crucial point is that in the presence of other particles, the number d_i of available single-particle states in this basis for the n -th particle of species i generally is no longer a constant, as given by G_i ; rather it may depend on the particle numbers $\{N_i\}$ of all species. This happens, for example, when localized particle states are *non-orthogonal*; as a result, the number of available single-particle states changes as particles are added at fixed size and boundary conditions. Haldane [4] defined the statistical interactions α_{ij} through the relation

$$\Delta d_i = - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \Delta N_j, \quad (3)$$

where $\{\Delta N_j\}$ is a set of allowed changes of the particle numbers. In the same spirit, but more directly for the purposes of QSM, we prefer to define the statistics, including possible mutual statistics, by counting [9] the number of many-body states at fixed $\{N_i\}$:

$$W = \prod_i \frac{[G_i + N_i - 1 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} (N_j - \delta_{ij})]!}{(N_i)! [G_i - 1 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} (N_j - \delta_{ij})]!}. \quad (4)$$

The statistics parameters α_{ij} must be *rational*, in order that a thermodynamic limit can be achieved through a sequence of systems with different sizes and particle numbers. If we restrict to only one species, (4) reduces to (2). Note there is no periodicity in so-defined statistics α .

Now let us consider the simple case that every single-particle state of species i has the same energy ε_i . An ideal gas, by definition, is a system whose total energy is a simple sum

$$E = \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i, \quad (5)$$

where each term is linear in the particle number N_i . Deferring the discussions about when eqs. (4) and (5) are

obeyed, let us first apply them to study QSM of such ideal gas. Following the standard procedure [8], one may consider a grand canonical ensemble at temperature T and with chemical potential μ_i for species i . According to the fundamental principles of QSM, the grand partition function is given by (with k the Boltzmann constant)

$$Z = \sum_{\{N_i\}} W(\{N_i\}) \exp\left\{\sum_i N_i(\mu_i - \varepsilon_i)/kT\right\}. \quad (6)$$

As usual, we expect that for very large G_i and N_i , the summand has a very sharp peak around the set of most-probable (or mean) particle numbers $\{N_i\}$. Using the Stirling formula $\log N! = N \log(N/e)$, and introducing the average “occupation number” defined by $n_i \equiv N_i/G_i$, we express $\log W$ as (with $\beta_{ij} \equiv \alpha_{ij}G_j/G_i$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i G_i \left\{ -n_i \log n_i - (1 - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j) \log(1 - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j) \right. \\ & \left. + [1 + \sum_j (\delta_{ij} - \beta_{ij}) n_j] \log[1 + \sum_j (\delta_{ij} - \beta_{ij}) n_j] \right\}. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The most-probable distribution of n_i is determined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_i} [\log W + \sum_i G_i n_i (\mu_i - \varepsilon_i)/kT] = 0, \quad (8)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n_i e^{(\varepsilon_i - \mu_i)/kT} &= [1 + \sum_k (\delta_{ik} - \beta_{ik}) n_k] \\ &\times \prod_j \left[\frac{1 - \sum_k \beta_{jk} n_k}{1 + \sum_k (\delta_{jk} - \beta_{jk}) n_k} \right]^{\alpha_{ji}}. \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Setting $w_i = n_i^{-1} - \sum_k \beta_{ik} n_k/n_i$, we have

$$(1 + w_i) \prod_j \left(\frac{w_j}{1 + w_j} \right)^{\alpha_{ji}} = e^{(\varepsilon_i - \mu_i)/kT}. \quad (10)$$

Therefore the most-probable average occupation numbers $n_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ can be obtained by solving

$$\sum_j (\delta_{ij} w_j + \beta_{ij}) n_j = 1, \quad (11)$$

with w_i determined by the functional equations (10). The thermodynamic potential $\Omega = -kT \log Z$ is given by

$$\Omega \equiv -PV = -kT \sum_i G_i \log \frac{1 + n_i - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j}{1 - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j}; \quad (12)$$

and the entropy, $S = (E - \sum_i \mu_i N_i - \Omega)/T$, is

$$\frac{S}{k} = \sum_i G_i \left\{ n_i \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu_i}{kT} + \log \frac{1 + n_i - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j}{1 - \sum_j \beta_{ij} n_j} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Other thermodynamic functions follow straightforwardly. As usual, one can easily verify that the fluctuations,

$(\overline{N_i^2} - \bar{N}_i^2)/\bar{N}_i^2$, of the occupation numbers are negligible, which justifies the validity of above approach.

To see more explicitly the consequences of the above results, consider the simplest case of only one species with different energy levels, for which we set $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha \delta_{ij}$ and $\mu_i = \mu$. Then the average occupation number n_i satisfies

$$(1 - \alpha n_i)^\alpha [1 + (1 - \alpha) n_i]^{1-\alpha} = n_i e^{(\varepsilon_i - \mu)/kT}; \quad (14)$$

and we have the statistical distribution

$$n_i = \frac{1}{w(e^{(\varepsilon_i - \mu)/kT}) + \alpha}, \quad (15)$$

where the function $w(\zeta)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$w(\zeta)^\alpha [1 + w(\zeta)]^{1-\alpha} = \zeta \equiv e^{(\varepsilon - \mu)/kT}. \quad (16)$$

Note that $w(\zeta) = \zeta - 1$ for $\alpha = 0$; and $w(\zeta) = \zeta$ for $\alpha = 1$. Thus, eq. (15) recovers the familiar Bose and Fermi distributions, respectively, with $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. For semions with $\alpha = 1/2$, eq. (14) becomes a quadratic equation, which can be easily solved to give

$$n_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1/4 + \exp[2(\varepsilon_i - \mu)/kT]}}. \quad (17)$$

For intermediate statistics $0 < \alpha < 1$, it is not hard to select the solution $w(\zeta)$ of eq. (16) that interpolates between bosonic and fermionic distributions. In particular, when ζ is very large, we have $w(\zeta) \approx \zeta$ and, neglecting α compared to $w(\zeta)$, we recover the Boltzmann distribution

$$n_i = e^{-(\varepsilon_i - \mu)/kT}, \quad (18)$$

at sufficiently low densities for any statistics.

Furthermore, we note that ζ is always non-negative, so is w ; it follows from eq. (15) that

$$n_i \leq 1/\alpha. \quad (19)$$

This expresses the generalized exclusion principle for fractional statistics. In particular, at absolute zero, $\zeta = 0$ if $\varepsilon_i < \mu$, and $\zeta = +\infty$ if $\varepsilon_i > \mu$. From eq. (16), we have $w = 0$ and ∞ respectively. Thus, we see that at $T = 0$, for statistics $\alpha \neq 0$, the average occupation numbers for single-particle states with continuous energy spectrum obey a step distribution like fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} n_i &= 0, & \text{if } \varepsilon_i > E_F; \\ &1/\alpha, & \text{if } \varepsilon_i < E_F. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The Fermi surface $\varepsilon = E_F$ is determined by the requirement $\sum_{\varepsilon_i < E_F} G_i = \alpha N$. Below the Fermi surface, the average occupation number is $1/\alpha$ for each single-particle state, a consequence of generalized exclusion principle.

One may be tempted to consider, in parallel to usual Bose and Fermi ideal gas, the case [10] with

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{\hbar^2 k_i^2}{2m}, \quad G_i = \frac{V k_i \Delta k_i}{2\pi}, \quad (21)$$

say in two dimensions with V the area. Then treating momentum as continuous, one has the Fermi momentum

$$k_F^2 = (4\pi\alpha)(N/V). \quad (22)$$

Moreover, at finite temperatures, by using (15) and (16), the sum $\sum_i G_i n_i = N$ can be done to give

$$\frac{\mu}{kT} = \alpha \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 N}{mkT V} + \log \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi\hbar^2 N}{mkT V} \right) \right]. \quad (23)$$

Using the identity derived by integration by parts,

$$\int_0^\infty d\varepsilon_i \log \frac{1 - \alpha n_i}{1 + (1 - \alpha)n_i} = \int_0^\infty d\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i n_i, \quad (24)$$

we have the statistics-independent relation $PV = E$. In the Boltzmann limit ($\exp(\mu/kT) \ll 1$), $w(\zeta) = \zeta + \alpha - 1$,

$$PV = NkT[1 + (2\alpha - 1)N\lambda^2/4V], \quad (25)$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/mkT}$. So the “statistical interactions” are attractive or repulsive depending on whether $\alpha < 1/2$ or $\alpha > 1/2$.

Whether a given system satisfies the seemingly harmless conditions (5) or (21) together with (4) is a nontrivial question. The state-counting definition (4) for fractional statistics does not apply [4] to free anyons, i.e. Newtonian particles carrying flux-tubes [11,12]. On the other hand, anyons in magnetic field satisfy (4), but not the condition (5) unless (at very low temperatures when) all anyons are in the lowest Landau level (LLL) [13]. The Jastrow-type prefactor $\Pi_{a < b} (z_a - z_b)^{\theta/\pi}$, with $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, in the anyon wave function has the effect of increasing the flux through the system by $(\theta/\pi)(N - 1)$. Thus, with fixed size and number of flux, the dimension of the effective boson Fock space [14,15] is given by $d = N_\phi - (\theta/\pi)(N - 1)$, where $N_\phi = qBV/hc \equiv V/V_0$, with q the anyon charge. Eq. (2) applies, with the single-anyon degeneracy $G = N_\phi$ and the statistics $\alpha = \theta/\pi$. Applying eqs. (15) and (16) with only one energy $\varepsilon = \hbar\omega_c/2$, we have

$$n \equiv \frac{N}{G} \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = \frac{1}{w(e^{(\varepsilon - \mu)/kT}) + \alpha}, \quad (26)$$

where $w(\zeta)$ is the positive solution of eq. (16). Here $\rho \equiv N/V$ is the areal density, and $\rho_0 \equiv 1/V_0$. Eq. (26), together with (16), determines the chemical potential μ in terms of ρ/ρ_0 and T . Thermodynamic quantities can also be expressed as functions of the ratio ρ/ρ_0 . In particular, the thermodynamic potential is

$$\Omega = -kT \frac{V}{V_0} \log \frac{1+w}{w} = -kT \frac{V}{V_0} \log \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha)n}{1 - \alpha n}. \quad (27)$$

The equation of state is

$$\frac{PV}{NkT} = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{-1} \log \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha)(\rho/\rho_0)}{1 - \alpha(\rho/\rho_0)}. \quad (28)$$

The pressure P is linear in T for fixed ρ . It diverges at the critical density $\rho_c = (1/\alpha)\rho_0$, which corresponds to the complete filling of the LLL. The emergence of an incompressible state at filling fraction $1/\alpha$ is a consequence of the generalized exclusion principle (20). [16] The magnetization per unit area is

$$\mathcal{M} = -\mu_0 \rho + \frac{2\mu_0}{\lambda^2} \log \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha)(\rho/\rho_0)}{1 - \alpha(\rho/\rho_0)}, \quad (29)$$

where $\mu_0 = q\hbar/2mc$ is the Bohr magneton. Note the first (de Haas-van Alphen) term is statistics-independent. At low temperatures, $kT \ll \hbar\omega_c$, the second term can be neglected except for ρ very close to $(1/\alpha)\rho_0$, where it gives rise to a non-vanishing, α -dependent susceptibility

$$\chi = kT \frac{q}{2\pi\hbar c} \left(-\frac{1}{B} \right) \frac{\rho/\rho_0}{(1 - \alpha\rho/\rho_0)[1 + (1 - \alpha)\rho/\rho_0]}. \quad (30)$$

The entropy per particle is also α -dependent:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{N} &= k(1 - \alpha + \frac{\rho_0}{\rho}) \log[1 + (1 - \alpha)\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}] \\ &\quad - k \log \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} - k(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho} - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Eqs. (27), (28) and (29) have been derived in Ref. [7] from the known exact many-anyon solutions in the LLL.

Vortexlike quasiparticle excitations in Laughlin's incompressible $1/m$ -fluid (m being odd) [17] are known to be fractionally charged anyons, and their wave functions are such as if they are in the LLL (with electrons acting as quantized sources of “flux”) [14]- [21]. The existence of two species of excitations, quasi-holes (labeled by $-$) and quasi-electrons (labeled by $+$), dictates nontrivial mutual statistics. From the “total-flux” constraint

$$N_\phi \equiv eBV/hc = mN_e + N_- - N_+, \quad (32)$$

where N_e the number of electrons, it follows [4] that

$$\alpha_{--} = -\alpha_{+-} = \alpha_{-+} = 1/m, \quad \alpha_{++} = 2 - 1/m, \quad (33)$$

(appropriate for *hard-core* quasielectrons [15,21]). The single excitation degeneracy in the thermodynamic limit is $G_+ = G_- = (1/m)N_\phi$. Ignoring the interaction energies and assuming the system is pure, we apply the formulas (10-13) to this case with the values (33) for α_{ij} . The densities $\rho_\pm$ of the excitations are given by

$$n_\pm = \frac{\rho_\pm}{\rho_0} = \frac{w_\mp + \alpha_{\mp\mp} - \alpha_{\pm\mp}}{(w_+ + \alpha_{++})(w_- + \alpha_{--}) - \alpha_{+-}\alpha_{-+}}. \quad (34)$$

where $\rho_0 \equiv G_\pm/V$; $w_\pm$ satisfy the functional equations

$$w_\pm^{\alpha_{\pm\pm}} (1 + w_\pm)^{1 - \alpha_{\pm\pm}} \left(\frac{w_\mp}{1 + w_\mp} \right)^{\alpha_{\mp\pm}} = e^{(\varepsilon_\pm - \mu_\pm)/kT}. \quad (35)$$

Here $\varepsilon_{\pm}$ is the creation energy of a single excitation. At $T = 0$ or very close to it, thermal activation is negligible; there is only one species of excitations, behaving like anyons in the LLL. Say, if $N_{\phi} < mN_e$, then there are only quasielectrons: $n_- = 0$, $n_+ = (mN_e - N_{\phi})/G_+ = 1/(w_+ + \alpha_{++})$. One can apply the above eqs. (26)-(31). At higher temperatures, thermal activation of quasiparticle pairs, satisfying $\mu_+ + \mu_- = 0$, becomes important and the effects of mutual statistics become manifest with increasing density of activated pairs. The thermodynamic properties at different sides of electron filling $\nu \equiv N_e/N_{\phi} = 1/m$ are not symmetric due to asymmetry in quasielectrons ($\alpha_{++} = 2 - 1/m$) and quasiholes ($\alpha_{--} = 1/m$). The general equation of state is

$$\frac{P}{kT} = \rho_0 \sum_{i=+,-} \log \frac{1 + (\rho_i/\rho_0) - \sum_j \alpha_{ij}(\rho_j/\rho_0)}{1 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij}(\rho_j/\rho_0)}. \quad (36)$$

When the excitation densities satisfy

$$\sum_{j=+,-} \alpha_{ij} \rho_j = \rho_0, \quad (37)$$

the pressure diverges and a new incompressible state is formed, as a result of the generalized exclusion principle obeyed by the excitations upon completely filling the LLL. At $T = 0$, e.g., for $i = +$ (quasiparticles) the limit (37) is reached when electron filling is $\nu = 2/(2m - 1)$, giving rise to the well-known hierarchical state [14,22,15]. At finite T , it may happen at somewhat different filling, because of the additional quasihole contribution in eq. (37). Moreover, the magnetization per unit area is

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_i \left(-\mu_i \rho_i + \frac{ekT}{mhc} \log \frac{\rho_0 + \rho_i - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \rho_j}{\rho_0 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \rho_j} \right). \quad (38)$$

Here $\mu_{\pm} = \partial \varepsilon_{\pm} / \partial B$; the linearity of $\varepsilon_{\pm}$ in B is observed in recent experiments [23]. Hopefully, when kT is of order of $\varepsilon_{\pm}$ or higher, the α_{ij} -dependent second term may give an appreciable contribution. The mutual-statistics-dependent total entropy, $S = \sum_i N_i s_i$, is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_i}{k} = & -\log \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_0} - \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i} - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_i} \right) \log \left(1 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_0} \right) \\ & + \left[1 + \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i} - \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_i} \right] \log \left[1 + \sum_j (\delta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_0} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

To conclude, we have formulated the QSM of particles of fractional statistics (and mutual statistics), adopting a state-counting definition. When their interaction energies can be neglected, they obey a statistical distribution that interpolates between bosons and fermions, and respect a generalized exclusion principle which makes them behave more or less like fermions. Anyonic quasiparticle excitations in the Laughlin quantum Hall liquids are such particles with nontrivial mutual statistics. It would be

interesting to experimentally test their thermodynamic properties. Also it would be interesting to see if and how statistics with $\alpha \geq 2$, which makes perfect sense with the state-counting definition (2), realizes in Nature.

I thank Fu-Chun Zhang for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY-9309458.

-
- [1] E. Lieb and W. Thirring, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 687 (1975).
 - [2] See, e.g., “*Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity*”, ed. F. Wilczek, World Scientific (Singapore), 1989; and references therein.
 - [3] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1115 (1969).
 - [4] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).
 - [5] D. Arovas, J.R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. **B251** [FS13], 117 (1985).
 - [6] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1529 (1991).
 - [7] A. Dasnières de Veigy and S. Ouvry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 600 (1994).
 - [8] See, e.g. K. Huang, “*Statistical Mechanics*”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (New York, 1963).
 - [9] At fixed particles numbers, the particles of species i can be regarded as either bosons with a Fock space dimension $d_B = d_i$, or fermions with a Fock space dimension $d_F = d_i + N_i - 1$. Moreover, $d_i = G_i - \sum_j \alpha_{ij}(N_j - \delta_{ji})$ solves (3) under the condition that $d_i = G_i$ when $N_j = \delta_{ji}$.
 - [10] We assume the system has a natural cutoff at large momenta so that the total number of single-particle states is finite. But we will neglect the effect of a finite cutoff in various relevant integrals.
 - [11] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1144 (1982); **49**, 957 (1982).
 - [12] Y.S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52** (1984) 2103; **53**, 111 (1984).
 - [13] M.D. Johnson and G.S. Canright, Phys. Rev. **B41**, 6870 (1990); G.V. Dunne, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto and C.A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. **B370**, 601 (1992); and ref.s in [7].
 - [14] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 605 (1983).
 - [15] S. He, X.C. Xie and F.C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3460 (1992).
 - [16] We note that the Laughlin wave function for the $1/m$ -state looks the same as if the LLL is completely filled by “super”-electrons with statistics $\alpha = m$.
 - [17] R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1359 (1983).
 - [18] B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1583 (1984).
 - [19] D. Arovas, J.R. Schrieffer and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 722 (1984).
 - [20] J. Yang and W.P. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1163 (1993).
 - [21] M.D. Johnson and G.S. Canright, preprint UCF-CM-93-005.
 - [22] M. Ma and F.C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1769 (1991).
 - [23] R.R. Du, H.L. Stormer, D.C. Tsui, L.N. Pfeiffer and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2944 (1993).